

Evolving galaxies in Evolving Environments

Bologna, September 15 2014

Galaxy evolution in the VIPERS environment

Iary Davidzon (Università di Bologna, INAF Osservatorio di Bologna)

In collaboration with: Micol Bolzonella, Olga Cucciati, Stéphane Arnouts, Olivier Ilbert, Thibaud Moutard, and the VIPERS team

VIPERS in a nutshell

First data release (PDR1)

- 53,927 objects
- 0.5 < z < 1.2
- i ≤ 22.5
- 16 deg²
- $\Delta z = 0.00047(1+z)$
- UV-optical-NIR



Guzzo+2014, Garilli+2014

Galaxy stellar mass function (GSMF) of VIPERS PDR1



- more statistical power than previous spectroscopic surveys (e.g. zCOSMOS)
- and less cosmic variance

But... what about the environment?

An excellent laboratory at z~0.8



$$\delta(r,R) = rac{
ho(r,R) - ar{
ho}(r)}{ar{
ho}(r)}$$

Cucciati+2014, A&A..565..A67 Cucciati et al. 2014, in prep.

$$\delta(r,R) = rac{
ho(r,R) - ar{
ho}(r)}{ar{
ho}(r)}$$

• Galaxy tracers $M_B < 20.4 - z$

Cucciati+2014, A&A..565..A67 Cucciati et al. 2014, in prep.

$$\delta(r, R) = \frac{\rho(r, R) - \bar{\rho}(r)}{\bar{\rho}(r)}$$

- Galaxy tracers $M_B < 20.4 z$
- Also photo-z used (cf Kovač+2010)

Cucciati+2014, A&A..565..A67 Cucciati et al. 2014, in prep.

$$\delta(r,R) = rac{
ho(r,R) - ar{
ho}(r)}{ar{
ho}(r)}$$

- Galaxy tracers $M_B < 20.4 z$
- Also photo-z used (cf Kovač+2010)
- ρ(r,R) filtered with cylinders

Cucciati+2014, A&A..565..A67 Cucciati et al. 2014, in prep.

$$\delta(r,R) = rac{
ho(r,R) - ar{
ho}(r)}{ar{
ho}(r)}$$

- Galaxy tracers $M_B < 20.4 z$
- Also photo-z used (cf Kovač+2010)
- ρ(r,R) filtered with cylinders
- $R \rightarrow 5$ th NN; depth ±1000 km/s
- 2-8 Mpc/h scales

Cucciati+2014, A&A..565..A67 Cucciati et al. 2014, in prep.

$$\delta(r,R) = \frac{\rho(r,R) - \bar{\rho}(r,R)}{\bar{\rho}(r,R)}$$

- Galaxy tracers MB<20.4 - z
- Also photo-z used (cf Kovač+2010)
- $\rho(r,R)$ filled with ulletcylinders
- $R \rightarrow 5$ th NN; depth ±1000 km/s
- 2-8 Mpc/h scales lacksquare



100

50

Does the GSMF shape change as a function of the environment?

Does the GSMF shape change as a function of the environment?

High density Low density



V_{max} points and STY fit by means of ALF (Ilbert+05)

Galaxy type classification



NUV-r-K diagram (Arnouts+2013)

three galaxy types:

passive

"green valley"

active

Shape of the passive GSMF



Shape of the active GSMF



Comparison to zCOSMOS...



Bolzonella+2010

Comparison to zCOSMOS...

passive

zCOSMOS HD (0.5<z<0.7)

11.0

 $\log \mathcal{M} [h_{70}^{-2} \mathcal{M}_{\odot}]$

VIPERS HD (0.51<z<0.65) =

11.5

VIPERS LD -

10.5

10.0

10.0

10.5

11.0

 $\log \mathcal{M} \left[h_{70}^{-2} \mathcal{M}_{\odot} \right]$

11.5

passive

zCOSMOS LD

active

zCOSMOS HD (0.5<z<0.7) •

zCOSMOS LD

11.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

-0.5

Log(¢)

zCOSMOS 0.5<z<0.7





Low density High density

I.Davidzon -- Galaxy evolution in the VIPERS environment -- EGEE, Bologna 09/15/2014

-1.5

10.0

10.5

11.0

 $\log \mathcal{M} \left[h_{70}^{-2} \mathcal{M}_{\odot} \right]$

11.5

Comparison to zCOSMOS



With VIPERS, the exponential tail (i.e., Schechter's M_{\star}) of the passive GSMF is significantly different between HD and LD



VIPERS passive: $\alpha_{HD} = 0.0 \pm 0.2$ $\alpha_{LD} = -0.5 \pm 0.2$

> Annunziatella+14: passive GSMF steeper in the highest density (A&A and poster)



MACS J1206.2-0847 (CLASH-VLT z=0.44)

...but see contrasting evidence e.g. in Calvi+12, Vulcani+12 (same GSMF shape in clusters and field)

Discussion

DM perspective:

- Halo mass segregation (more massive ones in the HD regions)
- Environmental history (same halo masses with ≠ merger trees in LD and HD)

Galaxy perspective:

- Differential evolution (= quenching mechanisms, ≠ epoch)
- Environmental quenching

An empirical description (Peng+2010)



Mass quenching at work



 $f_{quench}(\mathcal{M},z)$

 \propto SFR (\mathcal{M},z) / \mathcal{M}_{\star}

(see a similar approach in van der Burg+2013)

<u>Galaxy types</u> passive vs. active



<u>GSMF normalisation</u> by Voronoi's volumes

9.5

-₃ z~0.6

z~0.7

10.0

10.5

 $\log(\mathcal{M})$

11.0

11.5

Ð

9.0



Applying Peng+10 formalism to VIPERS data



Starting from the observed GSMF at 0.65<z<0.8, we get a prediction at 0.5<z<0.65

We compare it to VIPERS data at the same redshift

Low density regions

High density regions

Post-quenching effects in the HD regions

- Dry merging modifies the shape of the passive GSMF
- Better agreement with VIPERS when including 20-30% galaxy mergers (1:1 mass ratio)

Summarising

- VIPERS offers unprecedented statistical power to study galaxy evolution.
- Accurate z_{spec} allow us to identify 3-D environments.
- The environment modifies the GSMF shape, especially in the high-mass end.
- Environment dependence of the GSMF, as described by Peng et al., is supported by our data (first piece of evidence at z>0).

A one-minute vacation in the VIPERS environment

Filaments

ID et al., in prep

Disperse algorithm (Sousbie 2011)

Micheletti+2014

Groups

Thank you! Any question?

